



WEDNESDAY, MAY 12.

## Democratic Fusion Ticket.

FOR CIRCUIT JUDGE—W. T. OWEN.  
FOR COUNTY ATTORNEY—J. EDWIN HOWE.  
FOR STATE ATTORNEY—J. EDWIN HOWE.  
FOR CIRCUIT CLERK—O. B. LINDEN.  
FOR COUNTY JUDGE—J. F. MILLER.  
FOR COUNTY ATTORNEY—J. F. MILLER.  
FOR CIRCUIT CLERK—J. F. MILLER.  
FOR SHERIFF—W. M. ADINGTON.  
FOR COUNTY ATTORNEY—J. F. MILLER.  
FOR SCHOOL-SUIT—J. DENHAM HOCKER.  
FOR COUSINS—J. H. HOOPER.  
FOR ASSESSES—J. D. SPURRIER.

The Beaver Dam *Glacier* came out last week in a seven-column folio shape, much improved in appearance.

It is said that the Japanese are now making underclothing of paper. If the country journalists could only get out of that art!

HON. W. B. SMITH, the successful Bryan elector of last fall, has announced himself a candidate for the Democratic nomination for Governor of Kentucky.

Good Granny Metcalfe, whose face has become familiar to every newspaper reader, will celebrate her 88th birthday at Paducah next Monday. Mrs. Hausey accepts an invitation from Granny Metcalfe's friends, Mr. and Mrs. E. E. Sutherland.

The perfect air-ship is one of the certainties of the near future. Last Thursday at the Nashville Exposition, Prof. Arthur Barnard arose in one of these new contrivances of his own construction and passed completely out of sight against a strong wind.

Our fellow citizen, Col. C. M. Barnett, seems to have his political club swinging like a pendulum. It is at present oscillating between the office of Surveyor of the port of Louisville and the Owensboro Collectorship and it is a little hard to guess on which side it will strike first. Col. Barnett will grace either port with becoming fitness.

An exchange says a citizen has taken the trouble to find out that the Senate debated the Walker bill fifteen days, the Mills bill forty-one days, the McKinley bill forty-four days and the Wilson bill ninety-three days. Taken in its numerical order, the public can come very near guessing how long it will take to get through with the Dingley bill.

Any Ohio county Populist wishing to know anything about the affairs of his party or the fusion arrangement, need not go to his party leaders. They will perhaps be unwilling or unable to tell him. All he need do is to consult the editor of the *Republican* organ at Hartford and be fully informed of all his party matters at that charge. It says it "is located" and pledges itself to "furnish all the information necessary to show that the Populist party is gone forever."

The Louisville *Diegat*, Kentucky's new free silver daily, is fast gaining ground. It is now a strictly first-class daily paper in every respect, and is superior to many of the older journals. It will be noted that the *Diegat* recommends the full telegraph and market reports and is brimming full of news from date-line to foot-line. Kentuckians are proud of the *Diegat* and are showing their appreciation by giving it the largest circulation of any paper in Louisville.

Colorado men all over the State are marching against the cold freeze-out and dooming themselves to receiving from their precious friends, the Republicans. They are adopting the only sensible plan, organizing against the Republicans and adopting resolutions declaring independence of action. The following special shows one of the many moves made that direction lately.

FINNEY, KAN.—About 100 negroes met at the State fair for the purpose of organizing an African Democratic party. They succeeded in enrolling about 125 members. Representing the party was a colored brother, Henry Cherry, who was a candidate for State senator. He was cheated out of the nomination. They are now in allegiance to the Democratic party.

THESE come moments in the life of every man when he feels the thrill of a genius which he knows all too well he does not possess. A burst of eloquence by a gifted speaker, a grand rendition by a skilled musician, or a glowing touch by an artist's brush, often awakes in the human soul a latent force that makes one feel for the nonce that he, too, could thrill the hearer, entrance the ear and charm the eye could positions but be reversed. But the soul awakes to a keen realization of its incompetency and the deceiving sense of chained abilities passes away. Sublime thoughts often come to a

person in idle moments that seem to appear well satisfied with the intent. But the opportunity to transcribe to paper, but when all is in readiness the willing pen lies useless in the palsied hand. The most eloquent words are those which never find utterance. The grandest genius is that which we feel, but know we do not possess.

By whom are the principles of a party perpetuated? By its members. How are they perpetuated? By firm adherence and the selection of leaders and officials who view the principles of a party with indifference and contempt. Any person who will take a paper for years and then endeavor by excuse to avoid payment for same would not be considered a safe person to be credited by anybody.

The *Brinkbridge News*, an erstwhile loyal free silver paper, in commenting on Mr. Cleveland's banquet speech, says: "He is capable of saying good things along Democratic lines." True, brother. As a political speaker Mr. Cleveland has few equals and his utterances are clothed in the most forcible and eloquent verbiage. But, as you say further along, "the only objection any Democrat can have to Mr. Cleveland is his position on the money question," and whenever you endorse his banquet speech—as you seem inclined to do—endorse all his ultra goldbug ideas, and very traitorous and selfish nature of the man and his batch of it. There is no doubt of Mr. Cleveland's ability to speak especially at a millionaire's banquet, but no matter how brilliant and sagacious his utterances, they cannot be taken as interpreting true Democratic doctrine as taught and practiced by the great leaders of the party since before Mr. Cleveland's time.

THESE are not a Populist in Ohio who has taken any pains to inform himself upon the subject who

does not understand the fusion agreement between the Democratic and the Populists, and the meddling of the Republican organ at the place is simply a reflection upon their ignorance. The Democratic Populists, through their leaders, agreed, for the sake of perpetuating the cause of bimetallism to put in the paper for the present, and, we hope, for our Butler neighbor's sake, especially next Tuesday. He knows about former transactions.

## OHIO CIRCUIT COURT.

## Commonwealth Docket May Term, 1887.

First day, Grand Jury is organized and all examinations are to be held on the 2nd.

SECOND DAY, TUESDAY, May 1, 1887.

Commonwealth vs. Gilbert Taylor

vs. J. R. Williams

vs. S. T. Moseley

vs. Wm. Dehart

vs. Pete Durbin

vs. Wm. Dehart

vs. John Miller

vs. Martin Leinen

vs. John St. Clair

vs. Thomas Ross

vs. Moses Evans

vs. L. F. Averill

vs. Hartwell and wife

vs. L. F. Averill

vs. John Miller et al.

vs. Martin Leinen et al.

vs. John St. Clair et al.

vs. Thomas Ross et al.

vs. Moses Evans et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.

vs. Hartwell and wife et al.

vs. L. F. Averill et al.



